The City of Santa Fe AND Planning and Land Use Department

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

Land Development Code Diagnostic Review



RFP# 22/22/P

Questions & Answers

Proposal Due Date: March 8, 2022

Title of RFP RFP# Questions and Answers

	Questions	Answers	RFP Section	RFP PG #
1	Are any additional points	The RFP text states the	II.C.31.A.1	16-17
	awarded to firms with offices	following: Revenue	and	
	in New Mexico but outside of	Department-issued, Resident	II.C.31.A.2	
	Santa Fe?	Business certification of		
		eligibility to its bid or		
		proposal, showing that the		
		business is located within		
		the Santa Fe municipal		
		limits.		
		This section indicates that		
		the additional points are only		
		awarded to firms located		
		within Santa Fe City Limits.		
		There are no additional		
		points for firms located in		
		New Mexico but outside of		
		Santa Fe.		
2	Is the 3% for subconsultants	The RFP text states the	II.C.31.A.1	16-17
	only if all subconsultants are	following: The City shall		
	located within the City limits?	award an additional 3% of		
		the total weight of all the		
		factors used in evaluating		
		the proposal to a non-local		
		resident business who has		
		hired all local resident		
		business subcontractors.		
		This section indicates that		
		the additional 3% for		
		subconsultants is only		
		available if all		
		subconsultants are located		
		within the City Limits.		

3	How many points are	3% of the total weight of all	II.C.31.A	16
	associated with the State	the factors used in	IV.C.5	23
	Preference Certificate? Table 1	evaluating are available if	V.A.Table 1	24
	only appears to include City of	you submit a State		
	Santa Preference(s).	Preference Certificate		
		indicating your firm is		
		within the Santa Fe City		
		Limits.		
4	Are the total available points	Total points available from	V.A.Table 1	24
	equal to 1000 or 1060?	the evaluation equal 1000.		
		An additional 30 or 60		
		points are available to firms		
		located within Santa Fe City		
		Limits and/or applicants		
		with all subcontractors		
		located within City Limits.		
5	Can you please confirm and/or	This interpretation of the	I.C	1
	clarify how the detailed scope	relationship between the	IV.A	20
	of work on page 20 relates to	project phase and detailed		
	the Phases of the project	scope of work is generally		
	indicated on page 1?	accurate, however there may		
		be overlap between the		
	Our understanding based on	phases as the overall project		
	our read of the RFP is that:	deliverables takes shape.		
	• Phase 1A includes all of			
	Tasks 1 and 2 and parts of	Phase 3 in particular will		
	Task 3.	depend heavily on the		
	• Phase 1B includes parts of	Growth Management		
	Tasks 3 and all of Task 4 –	Initiative schedule and		
	but also appears to require	scope, which is yet to be		
	some level of code drafting	determined. Part of your		
	to address housekeeping	proposal should include		
	and code hygiene issues	recommendation for		
	identified in Task 2.	integrating the two		
	• Phase 2 includes Task 5	documents and processes in		
	and will activate the	an efficient and effective		
	comprehensive code	manner.		
	drafting work to implement			
	the strategies and			

6	recommendations provided in Tasks 1 through 4. • Phase 3 may involve additional LDC amendments and also policy amendments as it relates to future land use and growth – but is not specifically aligned with any Task identified in the detailed scope of work. What information specifically is the City requesting from which to make a determination of financial stability (page 19, Item 1. Proposal Content and Organization, G.6. Financial Stability)? We have been successfully and competitively operating for decades, but as a small business, our margins are typically more lean than larger,	The Financial Stability Submittal requirement does not apply to the RFP. Please see Amendment #1 that deletes this section of the Technical Proposal requirements.	III.B.1.G.6	19
	small business, our margins are			